



James Williams Read this earlier. Bunch of keyboard warriors
14 hours ago · Like · 1



Matt Hodgetts Charlie we do not swear
14 hours ago · Like · 8



Charlie Jh Holden apologies i have a dirty mouth
14 hours ago · Like · 5



Jamie Brown hahaha. pathetic
14 hours ago · Like



Mark Blakeway I might write a poorly worded, badly punctuated and shoddily researched article with a few humorous quips and see if I can get some airtime on the news. Everyone seems to be at it nowadays.

I doubt this is the result Tony set out to achieve, but if it has shown anything about present day society, it's that anyone can be a journalist.

14 hours ago · Like · 6



Paul Moncaster Another one who has missed the point , it is not sexual.
14 hours ago · Like



Tom Hawkins I wouldn't wipe a dogs soiled ring piece with these articles.

They only fuel the publics view that everyone is out to get them. Why can't something just be innocent and left alone instead of misunderstood by idiots and scrutinised for it.

14 hours ago · Like · 5



Alex Gibson Thank God he got Freud in there..
14 hours ago · Like · 1



Tom Hawkins Easy on the language dude. I reckon that's on the swearing border lol
14 hours ago · Like



Andrew Eardley its back
14 hours ago · Like · 3



Martin Belk I can say the only thrill I felt when I posted the offending picture was about contributing to the group, more than crappy comments on other images. The fact she is a redhead and so is my wife is coincidence...
14 hours ago · Like · 2



Tom Moore Saga Riihinen Because it wouldn't be funny if it were all people eating on the tube. That doesn't mean it necessarily has anything to do with it being women - that's the point you don't seem to get. It's funny because it is obtuse, mundane and totally and utterly trivial. There is no hidden misogyny or unspoken sexism and the the fact that you try and force your preconceived notions upon it is so mystifying and frustrating for everyone who actually gets it. No sexist or sexual comments are harboured and no offensive comments are permitted.

14 hours ago · Like · 13



Tom Hawkins Can you please leave? No one cares about your opinion, your objections or your life.

Go spread your hate somewhere else.

Preferably somewhere with no internet. North Korea maybe....

14 hours ago · Like · 3



Tom Hawkins Top comment you see is discouraging sexually orientated comments.

Your argument is invalid.



14 hours ago · Like



Andrew Eardley shes just fishing for a reaction cant we just block her and be done with it

14 hours ago · Like · 1



Andrew Eardley this person could of joined 1 hour ago like you

14 hours ago · Like · 1



Andrew Eardley they will get moderated dont worry

14 hours ago · Like



Andrew Eardley weve had a lot of press today

14 hours ago · Like · 1



Chris Kilkelly Surely if you look at the reaction to his comment from other members of the group it proves that he is the exception to the rule? Nobody here wants comments like his, perhaps you should read further instead of simply seeing why you want to see??

14 hours ago · Like · 1



Chris Kilkelly *what, not why sorry

14 hours ago · Like



Andrew Eardley there is already a men who eat on tubes and that consists mainly of men funnily enough your point is?

14 hours ago · Edited · Like



Andrew Eardley take it all back and leave have a nice day
14 hours ago · Like



Fred Letts Saga Riihinen & Andrew Eardley, can you guys just go on a date and get it over with!
14 hours ago · Like



Andrew Eardley maybe they don't strike the pose your trying to make it sexual and its not
14 hours ago · Like



Tom Moore Saga Riihinen But there isn't a sexist agenda so wondering about it is just a waste of your time.
14 hours ago · Like



Andrew Eardley



14 hours ago · Like · 1



Jasper Hart I think we should concede that some new members have been slightly more crude than is acceptable, and as the group's popularity increases this likely to both seem more prevalent and become more difficult to control. Don't get me wrong, I am a fan of this group but there are those who claim to be the same whilst devaluing the group with less than respectable comments.
14 hours ago · Like · 7



Andrew Eardley id rather pull my nails out fred
14 hours ago · Like



Luke Davies Saga are u still going? Christ. Settle yourself
14 hours ago · Like



Ali Donald This is turning into a bit of a Saga
14 hours ago · Like



Tom Hawkins The only people here that get grief are the holier than thou keyboard mercenaries on unwarranted and doomed world changing missions.

Personally I think it's sexist that it doesn't include men. To exclude men from this is uncalled for and therefore I'm going to ram my opinion down your throat where it isn't wanted. If am equally offended does that cancel your opinion out and mean you will leave?

14 hours ago · Edited · Like



Tom Moore Saga Riihinen Did I not already explain that to you?

14 hours ago · Like



Tom Hawkins My brain hurts from all the ignorance....

14 hours ago · Like



Jasper Hart No one is endorsing that language. WE DO NOT SWEAR. And how come no one ever mentions Men who Take Up too much Space on Public Transport, a similarly good natured but arguably ruder group which serves to highlight a flaw in a man, not a natural trait...

13 hours ago · Edited · Like · 1



Adam Moore What a load of complete tosh

13 hours ago · Like



Sarah Price Oh, has Saga gone? I was just about to weigh it with my straight female viewpoint. Never mind, I'm sure I'll get a chance to make my point to some other misguided soul before the day is out.

13 hours ago · Like · 1



Jim Harte And Tubecrush too - filled with pics of men on the tube taken by women - why aren't the media going crazy about that??

13 hours ago · Like



Andrew Eardley she conveniently disappears when she's lost for answers

13 hours ago · Like



Tom Hawkins Because men have better things to worry about....

Like finding women eating on tubes to photograph

13 hours ago · Like · 5



Paul Hancock Sorry Sarah Price....

13 hours ago · Like · 2



Christopher Villota Why are all the journos obsessed with turning it into something sexual?

13 hours ago · Like



Paul Moncaster Saga Riihinen ,would like to think that you've got the wrong person to vent your wrath on here, would appreciate it if you went back and checked again. I abide by all the best codes of WWEOT. Or,are you a journalist?

13 hours ago · Like



Paul Hancock She can't hear you....

13 hours ago · Like · 4



Tom Hawkins UNITED WE STAND!



13 hours ago · Like · 6



• **Paul Moncaster** Nice one Tom Hawkins!

13 hours ago · Edited · Like



• **Alan Thomas** Typical guardian sense of humour bypass not everything is a male dominated sexually intimidating action there is room for ridiculous daft and fun fads

12 hours ago · Like · 6



• **Charles Krolik-Root** I stopped reading after he called it trolling. It's not.

1 hr · Like



• **Ben Heinrich Wolfgang Heraud** I agree the media definitely need to do further research into what "trolling" actually means

1 hr · Like · 2